

Extended Reference List

- Bannister, S. (2007). Ethical issues and qualitative methodologies in the 21st century: How can digital technologies be embraced in the research community? *Journal of Ethnographic and Qualitative Research* 1, 1-10.
- Barry, C.A., Britten, N., Barber, N. Bradley, C. & Stevenson, F. (1999). Using reflexivity to optimize teamwork in qualitative research. *Qualitative Health Research* 9(1), 26-44.
- Beaulieu, A. & Estalella, A. (2012). Rethinking research ethics for mediated settings. *Information, Communication & Society*, 15(1), 23-42
- Bloom, L. (1996). I write for myself and strangers: Private diaries as public documents. In S.L. Bunkers and C.A. Huff (eds). *Inscribing the daily: Critical essays on women's diaries*. Boston: MA: University of Massachusetts Press.
- Buchanan, E.A. (2004). *Readings in virtual research ethics: Issues and controversies*. Hershey, PA: Information Science.
- Creswell, J. W., & Miller, D. L. (2000). Determining validity in qualitative inquiry. *Theory into Practice*, 39, 124-130.
- Davies, B., Brown, J., Gannon, S., Honane, E. Laws, C., Mueller-Rockstroh, B. & Peterson, E.B. (2004). The ambivalent practices of reflexivity. *Qualitative inquiry* 10, 360-389.
- Eynon, R., Fry, J. & Schroeder, R. (2008). The ethics of Internet research. In N. Fielding, R.M. Lee, & G. Blank (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of online research methods* (pp. 23-41). London, UK: Sage.
- Gibbs, G. R., Friese, S., & Mangabeira, W. C. (2002). The use of technology in qualitative research: Introduction to issue. *Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 3(2).
- Guba, E. G. & Lincoln, Y. S. (1981). *The evaluator as instrument*. In *Effective evaluation* (pp. 128-152). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Guillemim, M., & Gillam, L. (2004). Ethics, reflexivity and ethically important moments in qualitative research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 10(2), 261-280.
- Hardey, M. (2011). Ubiquitous connectivity: User-generated data and the role of the researcher. In S. Hesse-Biber (Ed.), *The handbook of emergent technologies in social research* (pp. 111-130). UK: Oxford University Press.
- Hertz, R. (Ed.). (1997). *Reflexivity and voice*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Israel, M., & Hay, I. (2006). *Research ethics for social scientists*. London, UK: Sage.
- Janesick, V. J. (2001). Intuition and creativity: A pas de deux for qualitative researchers. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 7(5), 531 – 540. Available at: <http://qix.sagepub.com/cgi/content/abstract/7/5/531>.
- Janesick, V. J. (2003). The choreography of qualitative research design: minuets, improvisations, and crystallization. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Strategies of qualitative inquiry* (pp. 46-79) (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Johns, M.D., Chen, S. S., & Hall, G.J. (2004). *Online social research: Methods, issues & ethics*. New York, NY: Peter Lang Publishers.
- LaBanca, F. (2011). Online dynamic asynchronous audit strategy for reflexivity in the qualitative paradigm. *The Qualitative Report* 16(4), 1160-1171.
- Markham, A.N. & Baym, N.K. (2009). *Internet inquiry: Conversations about method*.

- London, UK: Sage.
- McCabe, J. L., & Holmes, D. (2009). Reflexivity, critical qualitative research and emancipation: A Foucauldian perspective. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 65(7), 1518-1526.
- McGrail, E., & Davis, A. (2011). The influence of classroom blogging on elementary student writing. *Journal of Research in Childhood Education*, 25(4), 415-437.
- Morgan, G. (1983). Toward a more reflective social science. In G. Morgan (Ed.), *Beyond method: Strategies for social research* (pp. 368-376). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Pillow, W. S. (2003). Confession, catharsis, or cure? Rethinking the uses of reflexivity as methodological power in qualitative research. *Qualitative Studies in Education*, 16(2), 175-196.
- Robson, K. & Robson, M. (2002). Your place or mine? Ethics, the researcher and the internet. In T. Welland & L. Pubsley (Eds.), *Ethical dilemmas in qualitative research* (pp. 94-107). Burlington, VT: Ashgate Publishing Co.
- Sharf, B.F. (1999). Beyond Netiquette: The ethics of doing naturalistic discourse research on the Internet. In S. Jones (Ed.), *Doing Internet Research: Critical issues and methods for examining the Net*. Sage Publications.
- Sixsmith, J. & Murray, C.D. (2001). Ethical issues in the documentary analysis of internet posts and archives. *Qualitative Health Research*, 11, 423-432.
- Wakeford, N. & Cohen, K. (2008). Field notes in public: Using blogs for research. In N. Fielding, R.M. Lee, & G. Blank (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of online research methods* (pp. 307-326). London: Sage Publications.
- Walkerdine, V., Lucey, H., & Melody, J. (2002). Subjectivity and qualitative method. In T. May (Ed.), *Qualitative research in action* (pp. 179-196). London: Sage.
- Watt, D. (2007). On becoming a qualitative researcher: The value of reflexivity. *The Qualitative Report* 12(1), 82-101.